



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,800	10/29/2001	Stephen Harold Sanders III	СМ04263Н	3213
22917 75	590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
1303 EAST AL IL01/3RD	GONQUIN ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCHAUMBUR	.G, IL 60196		2643	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/052,800	SANDERS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Alexander Jamal	2643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the maining date of bis Adv	-	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			pecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: added elements to claim 1. (See 37 CFR	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		time also file al amanamalm	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable it submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	ient canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will r	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to			

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

see response to arguments.

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Application/Control Number: 10/052,800 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10-25-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per applicant's argument that Reichelt fails to disclose all limitation of original claim 9, examiner disagrees. Applicant's specification page 1 states that a 'service provider' is a device in a system that provides a service. Any device that attempts to perform a function is a service provider. For example, a device that performs a function (a feature) in the phone of Reichelt's system will first check (via the service management agent) if the feature is enabled "TRUE". The 'request' of the service provider is the message to the service agent that notifies the service agent to check if the feature is enabled. The same argument is applied to applicant's arguments regarding the remaining claims (remarks pages 9,10).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

AJ November 9, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SCHNOLOGY CENTER 2600